### Review.



Theory: If you drink alcohol you must be at least 18.

Which cards do you turn over?

Drink Alcohol  $\implies$  " $\ge 18$ "

"< 18" 
$$\implies$$
 Don't Drink Alcohol. Contrapositive.

(A) (B) (C) and/or (D)?

Propositional Forms:  $\land,\lor, \neg, P \implies Q \equiv \neg P \lor Q$ .

Truth Table. Putting together identities. (E.g., cases, substitution.) Predicates, P(x), and quantifiers.  $\forall x, P(x)$ .

DeMorgan's:  $\neg (P \lor Q) \equiv \neg P \land \neg Q$ .  $\neg \forall x, P(x) \equiv \exists x, \neg P(x)$ .

# CS70: Lecture 2. Outline.

Today: Proofs!!!

- 1. By Example.
- 2. Direct. (Prove  $P \implies Q$ .)
- 3. by Contraposition (Prove  $P \implies Q$ )
- 4. by Contradiction (Prove P.)
- 5. by Cases

If time: discuss induction.

## Last time: Existential statement.

How to prove existential statement?

Give an example. (Sometimes called "proof by example.")

Theorem:  $(\exists x \in N)(x = x^2)$ 

**Pf:**  $0 = 0^2 = 0$ 

Often used to disprove claim.

Homework.

# Quick Background and Notation.

Integers closed under addition.

 $a, b \in Z \implies a + b \in Z$ 

*a*|*b* means "a divides b".

2|4? Yes! Since for q = 2, 4 = (2)2.

- 7|23? No! No q where true.
- 4|2? No!
- 2|-4? Yes! Since for q = 2, -4 = (-2)2.

Formally: for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a | b \iff \exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$  where b = aq.

3|15 since for q = 5, 15 = 3(5).

A natural number p > 1, is **prime** if it is divisible only by 1 and itself.

A number x is even if and only if 2|x, or x = 2k for  $x, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

A number x is odd if and only if x = 2k + 1

### Divides.

*a*|*b* means

- (A) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with a = kb.
- (B) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with b = ka.
- (C) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with b = ka.
- (D) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with k = ab.

(E) a divides b

Incorrect: (C) sufficient not necessary. (A) Wrong way. (D) the product is an integer.

Correct: (B) and (E).

### Direct Proof.

**Theorem:** For any  $a, b, c \in Z$ , if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid (b - c)$ .

**Proof:** Assume a|b and a|c b = aq and c = aq' where  $q, q' \in Z$  b - c = aq - aq' = a(q - q') Done? (b - c) = a(q - q') and (q - q') is an integer so by definition of divides a|(b - c)

Works for  $\forall a, b, c$ ? Argument applies to *every*  $a, b, c \in Z$ . Used distributive property and definition of divides.

Direct Proof Form: Goal:  $P \implies Q$ Assume P.

Therefore Q.

### Another direct proof.

Let  $D_3$  be the 3 digit natural numbers.

Theorem: For  $n \in D_3$ , if the alternating sum of digits of *n* is divisible by 11, then 11|n.

 $\forall n \in D_3, (11 | alt. sum of digits of n) \implies 11 | n$ 

Examples:

n = 121 Alt Sum: 1 - 2 + 1 = 0. Divis. by 11. As is 121.

n = 605 Alt Sum: 6 - 0 + 5 = 11 Divis. by 11. As is 605 = 11(55)

**Proof:** For  $n \in D_3$ , n = 100a + 10b + c, for some a, b, c.

Assume: Alt. sum: a - b + c = 11k for some integer k.

Add 99a + 11b to both sides.

100a + 10b + c = 11k + 99a + 11b = 11(k + 9a + b)

Left hand side is n, k+9a+b is integer.  $\implies 11|n$ .

Direct proof of  $P \implies Q$ : Assumed P: 11|a-b+c. Proved Q: 11|n.

### The Converse

Thm:  $\forall n \in D_3$ , (11 alt. sum of digits of n)  $\implies$  11 | nIs converse a theorem?  $\forall n \in D_3$ , (11 | n)  $\implies$  (11 alt. sum of digits of n) Yes? No?

## Another Direct Proof.

Theorem:  $\forall n \in D_3, (11|n) \implies (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n)$ **Proof:** Assume 11|n.

$$n = 100a + 10b + c = 11k \implies$$

$$99a + 11b + (a - b + c) = 11k \implies$$

$$a - b + c = 11k - 99a - 11b \implies$$

$$a - b + c = 11(k - 9a - b) \implies$$

$$a - b + c = 11\ell \text{ where } \ell = (k - 9a - b) \in Z$$

That is 11|alternating sum of digits.

Note: similar proof to other. In this case every  $\implies$  is  $\iff$ 

Often works with arithmetic properties ... ...not when multiplying by 0.

We have.

Theorem:  $\forall n \in N'$ , (11 alt. sum of digits of n)  $\iff$  (11 |n)

## Proof by Contraposition

Thm: For  $n \in Z^+$  and d|n. If n is odd then d is odd.

```
n = kd and n = 2k' + 1 for integers k, k'.
what do we know about d?
```

Goal: Prove  $P \implies Q$ .

Assume  $\neg Q$ ...and prove  $\neg P$ .

Conclusion:  $\neg Q \implies \neg P$  equivalent to  $P \implies Q$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $\neg Q$ : *d* is even. d = 2k.

d|n so we have

n = qd = q(2k) = 2(kq)

*n* is even.  $\neg P$ 

### Another Contraposition...

**Lemma:** For every *n* in *N*,  $n^2$  is even  $\implies n$  is even.  $(P \implies Q)$  $n^2$  is even.  $n^2 = 2k \dots \sqrt{2k}$  even? **Proof by contraposition:**  $(P \implies Q) \equiv (\neg Q \implies \neg P)$ Q = 'n is even' .....  $\neg Q =$  'n is odd' Prove  $\neg Q \implies \neg P$ : *n* is odd  $\implies n^2$  is odd. n = 2k + 1 $n^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1.$  $n^2 = 2l + 1$  where l is a natural number. ... and  $n^2$  is odd!  $\neg Q \implies \neg P$  so  $P \implies Q$  and ...

# Proof by contradiction:form

**Theorem:**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Must show: For every  $a, b \in Z$ ,  $(\frac{a}{b})^2 \neq 2$ .

A simple property (equality) should always "not" hold. Proof by contradiction:

Theorem: P.

- $\neg P \implies P_1 \cdots \implies R$
- $\neg P \implies Q_1 \cdots \implies \neg R$
- $\neg P \implies R \land \neg R \equiv False$

or  $\neg P \implies False$ 

Contrapositive of  $\neg P \implies False$  is  $True \implies P$ . Theorem *P* is true. And proven.

#### Contradiction

**Theorem:**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Assume  $\neg P: \sqrt{2} = a/b$  for  $a, b \in Z$ .

Reduced form: *a* and *b* have no common factors.

$$\sqrt{2}b = a$$

$$2b^2 = a^2 = 4k^2$$

 $a^2$  is even  $\implies a$  is even.

a = 2k for some integer k

$$b^2 = 2k^2$$

 $b^2$  is even  $\implies b$  is even. *a* and *b* have a common factor. Contradiction.

# Proof by contradiction: example

Theorem: There are infinitely many primes.

Proof:

- Assume finitely many primes:  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ .
- Consider number

$$q=(p_1\times p_2\times\cdots p_k)+1.$$

- q cannot be one of the primes as it is larger than any  $p_i$ .
- q has prime divisor p("p > 1" = R) which is one of  $p_i$ .
- *p* divides both  $x = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k$  and *q*, and divides q x,

$$\Rightarrow p|(q-x) \implies p \leq (q-x) = 1.$$

▶ so  $p \le 1$ . (Contradicts *R*.)

The original assumption that "the theorem is false" is false, thus the theorem is proven.

# Product of first k primes..

Did we prove?

- "The product of the first k primes plus 1 is prime."
- No.
- > The chain of reasoning started with a false statement.

Consider example ..

- $\blacktriangleright \ 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times 13 + 1 = 30031 = 59 \times 509$
- There is a prime *in between* 13 and q = 30031 that divides q.
- Proof assumed no primes *in between*  $p_k$  and q.

## Poll: Odds and evens.

x is even, y is odd.

Even numbers are divisible by 2.

Which are even?

(A)  $x^{3}$ (B)  $y^{3}$ (C) x + 5x(D) xy(E)  $xy^{5}$ (F) x + y

A, D, E all contain a factor of 2. x = 2k, and  $x^3 = 8k = 2(4k)$  and is even.  $y^3$ . Odd? y = (2k+1).  $y^3 = 8k^3 + 24k^2 + 24k + 1 = 2(4k^3 + 12k^2 + 12k) + 1$ . Odd times an odd? Odd.

Any power of an odd number? Odd. Idea:  $(2k+1)^n$  has terms (a) with the last term being 1

#### Proof by cases.

**Theorem:**  $x^5 - x + 1 = 0$  has no solution in the rationals. **Proof:** First a lemma...

**Lemma:** If x is a solution to  $x^5 - x + 1 = 0$  and x = a/b for  $a, b \in Z$ , then both a and b are even.

Reduced form  $\frac{a}{b}$ : *a* and *b* can't both be even! + Lemma  $\implies$  no rational solution.

**Proof of lemma:** Assume a solution of the form a/b.

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^5 - \frac{a}{b} + 1 = 0$$

Multiply by  $b^5$ ,

$$a^5 - ab^4 + b^5 = 0$$

Case 1: *a* odd, *b* odd: odd - odd +odd = even. Not possible. Case 2: *a* even, *b* odd: even - even +odd = even. Not possible. Case 3: *a* odd, *b* even: odd - even +even = even. Not possible. Case 4: *a* even, *b* even: even - even +even = even. Possible.

The fourth case is the only one possible, so the lemma follows.

### Proof by cases.

**Theorem:** There exist irrational x and y such that  $x^{y}$  is rational. Let  $x = v = \sqrt{2}$ . Case 1:  $x^{y} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational. Done! Case 2:  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is irrational. • New values:  $x = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $v = \sqrt{2}$ .  $x^{y} = \left(\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}*\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^{2} = 2.$ 

Thus, we have irrational x and y with a rational  $x^y$  (i.e., 2). One of the cases is true so theorem holds. Question: Which case holds? Don't know!!!

# Poll: proof review.

Which of the following are (certainly) true?

(A)  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational. (B)  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational. (C)  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational or it isn't. (D)  $(2^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational. (A),(C),(D) (B) I don't know.

### Be careful.

**Theorem:** 3 = 4

**Proof:** Assume 3 = 4.

Start with 12 = 12.

Divide one side by 3 and the other by 4 to get 4 = 3.

By commutativity theorem holds.

Don't assume what you want to prove!

# Be really careful!

Theorem: 1 = 2 Proof: For x = y, we have  $(x^{2} - xy) = x^{2} - y^{2}$  x(x - y) = (x + y)(x - y) x = (x + y) x = 2x1 = 2

Poll: What is the problem?

(A) Assumed what you were proving.

(B) No problem. Its fine.

(C) x - y is zero.

(D) Can't multiply by zero in a proof.

Dividing by zero is no good. Multiplying by zero is wierdly cool!

Also: Multiplying inequalities by a negative.

$$P \Longrightarrow Q$$
 does not mean  $Q \Longrightarrow P$ .

# Summary: Note 2.

Direct Proof: To Prove:  $P \implies Q$ . Assume P. Prove Q. a|b and  $a|c \implies a|(b-c)$ .

By Contraposition:

To Prove:  $P \implies Q$  Assume  $\neg Q$ . Prove  $\neg P$ .

 $n^2$  is odd  $\implies n$  is odd.  $\equiv n$  is even  $\implies n^2$  is even.

By Contradiction:

To Prove: *P* Assume  $\neg P$ . Prove False .

 $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.

 $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$  with no common factors....

By Cases: informal.

Universal: show that statement holds in all cases.

Existence: used cases where one is true.

Either  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{2}$  worked.

or  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  worked.

Careful when proving!

Don't assume the theorem. Divide by zero.Watch converse. ...

# CS70: Note 3. Induction!

Poll. What's the biggest number?

- (A) 100
- (B) 101
- (C) n+1
- (D) infinity.
- (E) This is about the "recursive leap of faith."