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$$
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That is 11 |alternating sum of digits.

## CS70: Note 3. Induction!

Poll. What's the biggest number?
(A) 100
(B) 101
(C) $\mathrm{n}+1$
(D) infinity.
(E) This is about the "recursive leap of faith."
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- $P(0)=$ "First domino falls"
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\forall k, P(k) \xlongequal{P(0)} \Longrightarrow P(k+1) \\
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\end{gathered}
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Your favorite example of forever..or the natural numbers...
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## Poll: What did Gauss use in the proof?

(A) Every natural number has a next number.
(B) The recursive leap of faith.
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Theorem: For all natural numbers $n, 3 \mid n^{3}-n$.
What did we use in the proof?
(A) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \Longrightarrow P(n+1)) \Longrightarrow(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n))$.
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We used everything above except (A) and (E), cuz is false.
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1. Add line.
2. Get inherited color for split regions
3. Switch on one side of new line.
(Fixes conflicts along new line, and makes no new ones along previous line.)

Algorithm gives $P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)$.

## Poll: what did we use in the proof.

(A) Switching a 2 -coloring is a valid coloring.
(B) Definition of 2-coloring.
(C) Definition of adjacent.
(D) Definition of region.
(E) The four color theorem.
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A prime number is divisible by only itself and 1.
A number that is not prime is divisible by another number.
A number that is not prime is divisible by a prime.
Proof: induction.
$n$ is not prime.
Divisible by another number, $m, n=j m$
Which is prime and we are done.
Or $p \mid m$ for a prime $p$ by induction hypothesis.
That is, $m=i$ for integer $i$.
And $m \mid n$ or $n=j m$.
So $n=(i j) p$.
Prime $p$ divides $n$ by principle of strong induction.
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Theorem: All horses have the same color.
Base Case: $P(1)$ - trivially true.
New Base Case: $P(2)$ : there are two horses with same color.
Induction Hypothesis: $P(k)$ - Any $k$ horses have the same color.
Induction step $P(k+1)$ ?
First $k$ have same color by $P(k)$.
Second $k$ have same color by $P(k)$.
A horse in the middle in common!

Fix base case.
There are two horses of the same color. ...Still doesn't work!!
(There are two horses is $\not \equiv$ For every pair of two horses!!!)
Of course it doesn't work.
More subtle to catch errors in proofs of correct theorems!!
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[^0]:    Base Case.

