#### Lecture 7. Outline.

- 1. Modular Arithmetic. Clock Math!!!
- 2. Inverses for Modular Arithmetic: Greatest Common Divisor. Division!!!
- 3. Euclid's GCD Algorithm. A little tricky here!

#### Hypercubes.

Complete graphs, really connected! But lots of edges. |V|(|V|-1)/2Trees, few edges. (|V|-1)but just falls apart!

Hypercubes. Really connected.  $|V| \log |V|$  edges! Also represents bit-strings nicely.





 $2^n$  vertices. number of *n*-bit strings!  $n2^{n-1}$  edges.

2<sup>n</sup> vertices each of degree n total degree is n2<sup>n</sup> and half as many edges!

### **Recursive Definition.**

A 0-dimensional hypercube is a node labelled with the empty string of bits.

An *n*-dimensional hypercube consists of a 0-subcube (1-subcube) which is a n-1-dimensional hypercube with nodes labelled 0x(1x) with the additional edges (0x, 1x).



### Hypercube: Can't cut me!

**Thm:** Any subset *S* of the hypercube where  $|S| \le |V|/2$  has  $\ge |S|$  edges connecting it to V - S;  $|E \cap S \times (V - S)| \ge |S|$ 

Terminology: (S, V - S) is cut.  $(E \cap S \times (V - S))$  - cut edges.

Restatement: for any cut in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.

#### Proof of Large Cuts.

**Thm:** For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side. **Proof:** 

Base Case: n = 1 V= {0,1}. S = {0} has one edge leaving.  $|S| = \phi$  has 0.

# Induction Step Idea

**Thm:** For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.

Use recursive definition into two subcubes.

Two cubes connected by edges.

Case 1: Count edges inside subcube inductively.







# **Induction Step**

**Thm:** For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, |S|.

#### **Proof: Induction Step.**

Recursive definition:

 $H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ , edges  $E_x$  that connect them.  $H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x)$ 

 $S = S_0 \cup S_1$  where  $S_0$  in first, and  $S_1$  in other.

Case 1:  $|S_0| \le |V_0|/2, |S_1| \le |V_1|/2$ Both  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are small sides. So by induction. Edges cut in  $H_0 \ge |S_0|$ . Edges cut in  $H_1 \ge |S_1|$ .

 $\label{eq:constraint} \text{Total cut edges} \geq |\textbf{\textit{S}}_0| + |\textbf{\textit{S}}_1| = |\textbf{\textit{S}}|.$ 

### Induction Step. Case 2.

**Thm:** For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, |S|.

Proof: Induction Step. Case 2.



$$\begin{split} |S_0| &\geq |V_0|/2. \\ \text{Recall Case 1: } |S_0|, |S_1| &\leq |V|/2 \\ |S_1| &\leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| &\leq |V|/2. \\ &\implies &\geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \\ |S_0| &\geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| &\leq |V_0|/2 \\ &\implies &\geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0. \end{split}$$

Edges in  $E_x$  connect corresponding nodes.  $\implies = |S_0| - |S_1|$  edges cut in  $E_x$ .

Total edges cut:  $\geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0|$   $|V_0| = |V|/2 \geq |S|.$ Also, case 3 where  $|S_1| \geq |V|/2$  is symmetric.

### Hypercubes and Boolean Functions.

The cuts in the hypercubes are exactly the transitions from 0 sets to 1 set on boolean functions on  $\{0,1\}^n$ .

Central area of study in computer science!

Yes/No Computer Programs  $\equiv$  Boolean function on  $\{0,1\}^n$ 

Central object of study.

# Summary.

Euler: v + f = e + 2.

Tree. Plus adding edge adds face.

Planar graphs:  $e \leq 3v = 6$ .

Count face-edge incidences to get  $2e \leq 3f$ .

Replace *f* in Euler.

Coloring:

degree *d* vertex can be colored if d + 1 colors.

Small degree vertex in planar graph: 6 color theorem.

Recolor separate and planarity: 5 color theorem.

Graphs:

Trees: sparsest connected.

Complete:densest

Hypercube: middle.

#### Modular Arithmetic.

Applications: cryptography, error correction.

### Key ideas for modular arithmetic.

Theorem: If d|x and d|y, then d|(y-x).

Proof: x = ad, y = bd, $(x - y) = (ad - bd) = d(a - b) \implies d|(x - y).$ 

Theorem: Every number  $n \ge 2$  can be represented as a product of primes.

Proof: Either prime, or  $n = a \times b$ , and use strong induction. (Uniqueness? Later.)

### Poll

#### What did we use in our proofs of key ideas?

- (A) Distributive Property of multiplication over addition.
- (B) Euler's formula.
- (C) The definition of a prime number.
- (D) Euclid's Lemma.
- (A) and (C)

### Next Up.

Modular Arithmetic.

# **Clock Math**

If it is 1:00 now. What time is it in 2 hours? 3:00! What time is it in 5 hours? 6:00! What time is it in 15 hours? 16:00! Actually 4:00.

16 is the "same as 4" with respect to a 12 hour clock system. Clock time equivalent up to to addition/subtraction of 12.

What time is it in 100 hours? 101:00! or 5:00.

 $101 = 12 \times 8 + 5.$ 

5 is the same as 101 for a 12 hour clock system. Clock time equivalent up to addition of any integer multiple of 12.

Custom is only to use the representative in  $\{12, 1, ..., 11\}$ (Almost remainder, except for 12 and 0 are equivalent.)

### Day of the week.

This is Thursday is September 14, 2023.

What day is it a year from now? on September 14, 2023? Number days.

0 for Sunday, 1 for Monday, ..., 6 for Saturday.

Today: day 4.

5 days from then. day 9 or day 2 or Tuesday. 25 days from then. day 29 or day 1. 29 = (7)4 + 1two days are equivalent up to addition/subtraction of multiple of 7.

11 days from then is day 1 which is Monday!

What day is it a year from then?

Next year is not a leap year. So 365 days from then.

Day 4+365 or day 369.

Smallest representation:

subtract 7 until smaller than 7.

divide and get remainder.

369/7 leaves quotient of 52 and remainder 5. 369 = 7(52) + 5

or September 15, 2022 is a Friday.

```
Years and years...
```

```
80 years? 20 leap years. 366 \times 20 days
60 regular years. 365 \times 60 days
Today is day 4.
It is day 4 + 366 \times 20 + 365 \times 60. Equivalent to?
```

Hmm.

```
What is remainder of 366 when dividing by 7? 52 \times 7 + 2.
What is remainder of 365 when dividing by 7? 1
```

Today is day 4.

```
Get Day: 4+2 \times 20+1 \times 60 = 104
Remainder when dividing by 7? 104 = 14 \times 7+6.
Or September 15, 2102 is Saturday!
```

```
Further Simplify Calculation:
```

20 has remainder 6 when divided by 7.

60 has remainder 4 when divided by 7.

Get Day:  $4 + 2 \times 6 + 1 \times 4 = 20$ .

Or Day 6. September 14, 2103 is Saturday.

"Reduce" at any time in calculation!

#### Modular Arithmetic: refresher.

*x* is congruent to *y* modulo *m* or " $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ " if and only if (x - y) is divisible by *m*. ...or *x* and *y* have the same remainder w.r.t. *m*. ...or x = y + km for some integer *k*.

Mod 7 equivalence or *residue* classes:  $\{..., -7, 0, 7, 14, ...\}$   $\{..., -6, 1, 8, 15, ...\}$  ...

**Useful Fact:** Addition, subtraction, multiplication can be done with any equivalent *x* and *y*.

or "
$$a \equiv c \pmod{m}$$
 and  $b \equiv d \pmod{m}$   
 $\implies a+b \equiv c+d \pmod{m}$  and  $a \cdot b = c \cdot d \pmod{m}$ "

**Proof:** If  $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$ , then a = c + km for some integer k. If  $b \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , then b = d + jm for some integer j. Therefore, a + b = c + d + (k + j)m and since k + j is integer.  $\implies a + b \equiv c + d \pmod{m}$ .

Can calculate with representative in  $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ .

# Notation

x (mod m) or mod (x,m) - remainder of x divided by m in {0,...,m-1}. mod (x,m) = x -  $\lfloor \frac{x}{m} \rfloor m$   $\lfloor \frac{x}{m} \rfloor$  is quotient. mod (29,12) = 29 - ( $\lfloor \frac{29}{12} \rfloor$ ) × 12 = 29 - (2) × 12 = X = 5Work in this system.

 $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .

Says two integers *a* and *b* are equivalent modulo *m*.

#### Modulus is m

 $6 \equiv 3 + 3 \equiv 3 + 10 \pmod{7}$ .

 $6 = 3 + 3 = 3 + 10 \pmod{7}$ .

Generally, not 6  $(mod 7) = 13 \pmod{7}$ .

But probably won't take off points, still hard for us to read.

#### Inverses and Factors.

Division: multiply by multiplicative inverse.

$$2x = 3 \implies \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 2x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 3 \implies x = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Multiplicative inverse of x is y where xy = 1; 1 is multiplicative identity element.

In modular arithmetic, 1 is the multiplicative identity element.

**Multiplicative inverse of**  $x \mod m$  is y with  $xy = 1 \pmod{m}$ .

For 4 modulo 7 inverse is 2:  $2 \cdot 4 \equiv 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ .

```
Can solve 4x = 5 \pmod{7}.

x = 3 \pmod{2} (mod 7).

For 8 Modulo (2?967) multiplicative inverse!

x = 3 \pmod{7}

"Check 4.13 (mod 7).

8k - 12\ell is a multiple of four for any \ell and k \implies

8k \neq 1 \pmod{12} for any k.
```

## Poll

#### Mark true statements.

(A) Mutliplicative inverse of 2 mod 5 is 3 mod 5.

- (B) The multiplicative inverse of  $((n-1) \pmod{n} = ((n-1) \pmod{n})$ .
- (C) Multiplicative inverse of 2 mod 5 is 0.5.
- (D) Multiplicative inverse of  $4 = -1 \pmod{5}$ .
- (E) (-1)x(-1) = 1. Woohoo.

(F) Multiplicative inverse of 4 mod 5 is 4 mod 5.

(C) is false. 0.5 has no meaning in arithmetic modulo 5.

### Greatest Common Divisor and Inverses.

#### Thm:

If greatest common divisor of x and m, gcd(x, m), is 1, then x has a multiplicative inverse modulo m.

**Proof**  $\implies$ : **Claim:** The set  $S = \{0x, 1x, \dots, (m-1)x\}$  contains  $y \equiv 1 \mod m$  if all distinct modulo m.

Each of *m* numbers in *S* correspond to one of *m* equivalence classes modulo *m*.

 $\implies$  One must correspond to 1 modulo *m*. Inverse Exists!

Proof of Claim: If not distinct, then  $\exists a, b \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ ,  $a \neq b$ , where  $(ax \equiv bx \pmod{m}) \implies (a-b)x \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ Or (a-b)x = km for some integer k.

gcd(x,m) = 1

⇒ Prime factorization of *m* and *x* do not contain common primes. ⇒ (a-b) factorization contains all primes in *m*'s factorization. So (a-b) has to be multiple of *m*.

 $\implies$   $(a-b) \ge m$ . But  $a, b \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ . Contradiction.

#### Proof review. Consequence.

**Thm:** If gcd(x, m) = 1, then x has a multiplicative inverse modulo m.

**Proof Sketch:** The set  $S = \{0x, 1x, \dots, (m-1)x\}$  contains  $y \equiv 1 \mod m$  if all distinct modulo *m*.

For x = 4 and m = 6. All products of 4...  $S = \{0(4), 1(4), 2(4), 3(4), 4(4), 5(4)\} = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20\}$ reducing (mod 6)  $S = \{0, 4, 2, 0, 4, 2\}$ 

Not distinct. Common factor 2. Can't be 1. No inverse.

For x = 5 and m = 6.  $S = \{0(5), 1(5), 2(5), 3(5), 4(5), 5(5)\} = \{0, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$ All distinct, contains 1! 5 is multiplicative inverse of 5 (mod 6). (Hmm. What normal number is it own multiplicative inverse?) 1 -1.

 $5x = 3 \pmod{6}$  What is x? Multiply both sides by 5. x =  $15 = 3 \pmod{6}$ 

 $4x = 3 \pmod{6}$  No solutions. Can't get an odd.  $4x = 2 \pmod{6}$  Two solutions!  $x = 2,5 \pmod{6}$ 

Very different for elements with inverses.

#### Proof Review 2: Bijections.

If gcd(x,m) = 1. Then the function  $f(a) = xa \mod m$  is a bijection. One to one: there is a unique pre-image(single x where y = f(x).) Onto: the sizes of the domain and co-domain are the same. x = 3, m = 4.  $f(1) = 3(1) = 3 \pmod{4},$   $f(2) = 6 = 2 \pmod{4},$   $f(3) = 1 \pmod{3}.$ Oh yeah.  $f(0) = 0 \pmod{3}.$ 

Bijection  $\equiv$  unique pre-image and same size.

All the images are distinct.  $\implies$  unique pre-image for any image.

$$x = 2, m = 4.$$
  
 $f(1) = 2,$   
 $f(2) = 0,$   
 $f(3) = 2$   
Oh yeah.  $f(0) = 0.$ 

Not a bijection.

### Poll

#### Which is bijection?

(A) f(x) = x for domain and range being  $\mathbb{R}$ (B)  $f(x) = ax \pmod{n}$  for  $x \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$  and gcd(a, n) = 2(C)  $f(x) = ax \pmod{n}$  for  $x \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$  and gcd(a, n) = 1(B) is not.

# Only if

Thm: If  $gcd(x,m) \neq 1$  then x has no multiplicative inverse modulo m. Assume the inverse of a is  $x^{-1}$ , or ax = 1 + km.

x = nd and  $m = \ell d$  for d > 1.

Thus,

 $a(nd) = 1 + k\ell d$  or  $d(na - k\ell) = 1.$ 

But d > 1 and  $z = (na - k\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

so  $dz \neq 1$  and dz = 1. Contradiction.

## Finding inverses.

How to find the inverse?

How to find if x has an inverse modulo m?

Find gcd (x, m).

Greater than 1? No multiplicative inverse.

Equal to 1? Mutliplicative inverse.

Algorithm: Try all numbers up to x to see if it divides both x and m. Very slow.

#### Inverses

Next up.

Euclid's Algorithm. Runtime. Euclid's Extended Algorithm.

# Refresh

Does 2 have an inverse mod 8? No. Any multiple of 2 is 2 away from 0+8k for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Does 2 have an inverse mod 9? Yes. 5  $2(5) = 10 = 1 \mod 9$ . Does 6 have an inverse mod 9? No. Any multiple of 6 is 3 away from 0+9k for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . 3 = gcd(6,9)!*x* has an inverse modulo *m* if and only if

gcd(x,m) > 1? No. gcd(x,m) = 1? Yes.

Now what?:

Compute gcd!

Compute Inverse modulo *m*.

### Divisibility...

**Notation:** d|x means "*d* divides *x*" or x = kd for some integer *k*.

**Fact:** If d|x and d|y then d|(x+y) and d|(x-y).

Is it a fact? Yes? No?

**Proof:** d|x and d|y or  $x = \ell d$  and y = kd

 $\implies x - y = kd - \ell d = (k - \ell)d \implies d|(x - y)$ 

#### More divisibility

**Notation:** d|x means "*d* divides *x*" or x = kd for some integer *k*.

**Lemma 1:** If d|x and d|y then d|y and  $d| \mod (x, y)$ .

Proof:

Therefore  $d \mod (x, y)$ . And d | y since it is in condition.

**Lemma 2:** If d|y and  $d| \mod (x, y)$  then d|y and d|x. **Proof...:** Similar. Try this at home.

**GCD Mod Corollary:** gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, mod(x, y)). **Proof:** *x* and *y* have **same** set of common divisors as *x* and mod (x, y) by Lemma 1 and 2. Same common divisors  $\implies$  largest is the same. ⊡ish.

# Euclid's algorithm.

#### **GCD Mod Corollary:** gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, mod(x, y)).

Hey, what's gcd(7,0)? 7 since 7 divides 7 and 7 divides 0 What's gcd(x,0)? x

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
        x
        (euclid y (mod x y)))) ***
```

**Theorem:** (euclid x y) = gcd(x, y) if  $x \ge y$ .

**Proof:** Use Strong Induction. **Base Case:** y = 0, "*x* divides *y* and *x*"  $\implies$  "*x* is common divisor and clearly largest." **Induction Step:** mod  $(x, y) < y \le x$  when  $x \ge y$ call in line (\*\*\*) meets conditions plus arguments "smaller" and by strong induction hypothesis computes gcd(*y*, mod (*x*, *y*)) which is gcd(*x*, *y*) by GCD Mod Corollary.

#### Excursion: Value and Size.

Before discussing running time of gcd procedure... What is the value of 1,000,000? one million or 1,000,000! What is the "size" of 1,000,000? Number of digits in base 10: 7. Number of bits (a digit in base 2): 21. For a number *x*, what is its size in bits?

 $n = b(x) \approx \log_2 x$ 

**Theorem:** (euclid x y) uses 2*n* "divisions" where  $n = b(x) \approx \log_2 x$ . Is this good? Better than trying all numbers in  $\{2, \dots y/2\}$ ? Check 2, check 3, check 4, check 5 ..., check y/2. If  $y \approx x$  roughly *y* uses *n* bits ...  $2^{n-1}$  divisions! Exponential dependence on size!

101 bit number.  $2^{100} \approx 10^{30} =$  "million, trillion, trillion" divisions! 2*n* is much faster! .. roughly 200 divisions.

## Poll.

# Assume $\log_2 1,000,000$ is 20 to the nearest integer. Mark what's true.

- (A) The size of 1,000,000 is 20 bits.
- (B) The size of 1,000,000 is one million.
- (C) The value of 1,000,000 is one million.
- (D) The value of 1,000,000 is 20.

(A) and (C).

#### Poll

#### Which are correct?

(A) gcd(700,568) = gcd (568,132)
(B) gcd(8,3) = gcd(3,2)
(C) gcd(8,3) = 1
(D) gcd(4,0) = 4

# Algorithms at work.

```
Trying everything
Check 2, check 3, check 4, check 5 ..., check y/2.
"(gcd x y)" at work.
```

```
euclid(700,568)
euclid(568, 132)
euclid(132, 40)
euclid(40, 12)
euclid(12, 4)
euclid(12, 4)
euclid(4, 0)
4
```

Notice: The first argument decreases rapidly. At least a factor of 2 in two recursive calls.

(The second is less than the first.)

# Runtime Proof.

**Theorem:** (euclid x y) uses O(n) "divisions" where n = b(x).

Proof:

#### Fact:

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

After  $2\log_2 x = O(n)$  recursive calls, argument *x* is 1 bit number. One more recursive call to finish. 1 division per recursive call. O(n) divisions.

# Runtime Proof (continued.)

#### Fact:

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

Proof of Fact: Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1: y < x/2, first argument is  $y \implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \ge x/2$ "  $\implies$  "mod $(x, y) \le x/2$ ."

mod (x, y) is second argument in next recursive call, and becomes the first argument in the next one. When  $y \ge x/2$ , then

$$\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1,$$
  
mod  $(x, y) = x - y \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = x - y \leq x - x/2 = x/2$ 

#### Remark

#### (define (euclid x y) (if (= y 0) x (euclid y (-x y))))

Didn't necessarily need to do gcd. Runtime proof still works.

### Finding an inverse?

We showed how to efficiently tell if there is an inverse. Extend euclid to find inverse.

# Euclid's GCD algorithm.

Computes the gcd(x, y) in O(n) divisions.

For x and m, if gcd(x, m) = 1 then x has an inverse modulo m.

#### Multiplicative Inverse.

GCD algorithm used to tell **if** there is a multiplicative inverse. How do we **find** a multiplicative inverse?

### Modular Arithmetic Lecture in a minute.

Modular Arithmetic:  $x \equiv y \pmod{N}$  if x = y + kN for some integer k.

For 
$$a \equiv b \pmod{N}$$
, and  $c \equiv d \pmod{N}$ ,  
 $ac = bd \pmod{N}$  and  $a+b=c+d \pmod{N}$ 

Division? Multiply by multiplicative inverse.  $a \pmod{N}$  has multiplicative inverse,  $a^{-1} \pmod{N}$ . If and only if gcd(a, N) = 1.

Why? If: 
$$f(x) = ax \pmod{N}$$
 is a bijection on  $\{1, ..., N-1\}$ .  
 $ax - ay = 0 \pmod{N} \implies a(x - y)$  is a multiple of *N*.  
If  $gcd(a, N) = 1$ ,  
then  $(x - y)$  must contain all primes in prime factorization of *N*,

and is therefore be bigger than N.

Only if: For 
$$a = xd$$
 and  $N = yd$ ,

any ma + kN = d(mx - ky) or is a multiple of d, and is not 1.

Euclid's Alg: 
$$gcd(x,y) = gcd(y \mod x,x)$$
  
Fast cuz value drops by a factor of two every two recursive calls.

Know if there is an inverse, but how do we find it? On Tuesday!

#### Extended GCD

#### Euclid's Extended GCD Theorem:

For any *x*, *y* there are integers *a*, *b* where

ax + by = d where d = gcd(x, y).

"Make *d* out of sum of multiples of *x* and *y*."

What is multiplicative inverse of *x* modulo *m*?

By extended GCD theorem, when gcd(x, m) = 1.

ax + bm = 1 $ax \equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

So *a* multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !! Example: For x = 12 and y = 35, gcd(12,35) = 1.

(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.

a = 3 and b = -1. The multiplicative inverse of 12 (mod 35) is 3.

#### Make *d* out of *x* and *y*..?

```
gcd(35,12)
gcd(12, 11) ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
gcd(11, 1) ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
gcd(1,0)
1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?  $35 - |\frac{35}{12}|12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$ 

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?  $12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$ 

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

1 = 12 - (1)11 = 12 - (1)(35 - (2)12) = (3)12 + (-1)35Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin.... Simplify. a = 3 and b = -1.

### Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
    else
        (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
        return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

```
Claim: Returns (d, a, b): d = gcd(a, b) and d = ax + by.
Example: a - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot b = 011 + \lfloor 120 / (a, b) \rfloor = 3
```

```
ext-gcd(35,12)
ext-gcd(12, 11)
ext-gcd(11, 1)
ext-gcd(11, 0)
return (1,1,0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
return (1,0,1) ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
return (1,1,-1) ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
return (1,-1, 3) ;; 1 = (-1)35 + (3)12
```

#### Extended GCD Algorithm.

**Theorem:** Returns (d, a, b), where d = gcd(a, b) and

d = ax + by.

#### Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup> **Base:** ext-gcd(x,0) returns (d = x,1,0) with x = (1)x + (0)y. **Induction Step:** Returns (d,A,B) with d = Ax + ByInd hyp: **ext-gcd**(y, mod (x,y)) returns (d,a,b) with d = ay + b( mod (<math>x,y))

ext-gcd(x, y) calls ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y)) so

$$d = ay + b \cdot ( \mod(x, y)) = ay + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y) = bx + (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b)y$$

And ext-gcd returns  $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b))$  so theorem holds!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Assume *d* is gcd(x, y) by previous proof.

#### Review Proof: step.

Prove: returns (d, A, B) where d = Ax + By.

Recursively:  $d = ay + b(x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot y) \implies d = bx - (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor b)y$ Returns  $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b))$ . Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example: gcd(7,60) = 1. gcd(7,60).

$$7(0)+60(1) = 60$$
  

$$7(1)+60(0) = 7$$
  

$$7(-8)+60(1) = 4$$
  

$$7(9)+60(-1) = 3$$
  

$$7(-17)+60(2) = 1$$

Confirm: -119 + 120 = 1

# Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in O(n) time! Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...  $2^{n/2}$ 

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?  $\le$  80 divisions. versus 1,000,000

Internet Security: Next Week.